

9.4. Without loss of generality, take $\theta=1$.

$$f_{Y_{(1)}}(x) = n(1-x)^{n-1}, \quad f_{Y_{(n)}}(x) = n x^{n-1}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \text{Var } Y_{(1)} &= \mathbb{E} Y_{(1)}^2 - (\mathbb{E} Y_{(1)})^2 \\ &= \int_0^1 n x^2 (1-x)^{n-1} dx - \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^2 \\ &= n \cdot \frac{\Gamma(2) \Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n+2)} - \left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} - \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} = \frac{n}{(n+1)^2(n+2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var } Y_{(n)} &= \int_0^1 n x^{n+1} dx - \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 = \frac{n}{n+2} - \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{n}{(n+1)^2(n+2)} \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{Var } Y_{(1)} = \text{Var } Y_{(n)}$, hence

$$\frac{\text{Var } \hat{\theta}_1}{\text{Var } \hat{\theta}_2} = \frac{(n+1)^2 \text{Var } Y_{(1)}}{(n+1)^2 \text{Var } Y_{(n)}} = 1.$$

→ This conclusion can be obtained by the symmetry of $Y_{(1)}$ and $Y_{(n)}$ when $Y_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} U([a, b])$, hence no need to compute them.

$$9.8. (a). f(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \quad \ln f(y) = -\frac{(y-\theta)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \ln \sqrt{2\pi} - \ln \sigma$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(Y)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \right] = \frac{1}{\sigma^2},$$

$$\text{hence } I(\theta) = \left[n \mathbb{E} \left[-\frac{\partial^2 \ln f(Y)}{\partial \theta^2} \right] \right]^{-1} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

We know that $\text{Var } \bar{Y} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = I(\theta)$, hence \bar{Y} is efficient.

$$(b). \quad p(y) = \frac{\lambda^y}{y!} e^{-\lambda}, \quad \ln p(y) = y \ln \lambda - \lambda - \ln y!$$

$$\text{So } \mathbb{E} \left(- \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(Y)}{\partial \lambda^2} \right) = \mathbb{E} \frac{Y}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (\mathbb{E}Y = \lambda)$$

$$\Rightarrow I(\theta) = \frac{\lambda}{n}.$$

$$\text{For } \text{Var } \bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \text{Var} Y = \frac{\lambda}{n} = I(\theta),$$

we conclude \bar{Y} is efficient.

$$9.24 \quad (a) \quad \chi_n^2$$

$$(b) \quad Y_i^2 \text{ i.i.d } \chi_1^2, \quad \text{so } \mathbb{E} Y_i^2 = 1$$

$$\text{By WLLN, } W_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2}{n} \xrightarrow{P} \mathbb{E} Y_i^2$$

$$9.25 \quad (a) \quad \mathbb{E} Y_i = \mu.$$

$$(b) \quad P(|Y_i - \mu| \leq 1) = P(|Z| \leq 1), \quad \text{where } Z \sim N(0,1) \\ \approx 0.683$$

(c) $P(|Y_i - \mu| \leq 1)$ is a constant doesn't go to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so Y_i is not consistent.

$$9.36 \quad Y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad X_i \text{ i.i.d Bernoulli } (p).$$

$$\text{So } \frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)/n}} = \frac{Y_n - np}{\sqrt{n p(1-p)}} \xrightarrow{\text{CLT}} N(0,1) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Also, } \hat{p}_n = \frac{Y_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\text{WLLN}} p, \quad \text{hence } \hat{q}_n \xrightarrow{P} 1-p.$$

$$\text{Then } \hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n \xrightarrow{P} pq. \quad (2)$$

Combine ①, ②, we have $\frac{\hat{p}_n - p}{\sqrt{\hat{p}_n \hat{q}_n / n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$.

Last step due to Thm 9.3, also known as Slutsky's Thm.

$$\begin{aligned} 9.38 \text{ (a)} \quad P(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \mu, \sigma^2) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 + n(\bar{Y} - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence by definition, \bar{Y} is sufficient for μ .

(b) Same Eq (1) tells us $\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$ is sufficient for σ^2 .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c)} \quad P(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \mu, \sigma^2) &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i^2 - 2\mu Y_i + \mu^2)} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i^2 - 2\mu \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i + n\mu^2 \right)}. \end{aligned}$$

So $\sum Y_i, \sum Y_i^2$ are joint sufficient.

$$\begin{aligned} 9.39. \quad P(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!} e^{-\lambda} \\ &= \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i} e^{-n\lambda} \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i! \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sum Y_i$ is SS. (sufficient statistics)

9.45 Same procedure as 9.39.

$$\begin{aligned} 9.50 \quad P(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \theta_1, \theta_2) &= \left(\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\right)^n \mathbb{1}_{\{\theta_1 \leq Y_1, \dots, Y_n \leq \theta_2\}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\right)^n \mathbb{1}_{\{\theta_1 \leq Y_{(1)}\}} \mathbb{1}_{\{Y_{(n)} \leq \theta_2\}} \end{aligned}$$

So $Y_{(1)}, Y_{(n)}$ are SS.

9.51 Same reasoning as 9.50

9.63 (a). By results from order statistics.

(b). A SS for θ is $Y_{(n)}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } EY_{(n)} &= \int_0^\theta \frac{3ny^{3n}}{\theta^{3n}} dy \\ &= \frac{3n}{3n+1} \theta \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{3n+1}{3n} Y_{(n)}$ is MVEE.

(For it's unbiased and a function of SS).

9.65. (a) $E T = P(Y_1=1, Y_2=0) = p(1-p)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b) } P(T=1|W=w) &= \frac{P(Y_1=1, Y_2=0, W=w)}{P(W=w)} \\ &= \frac{P(\sum_{i=2}^n Y_i = w-1) \cdot P(Y_1=1, Y_2=0)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i = w)} \\ &= \frac{C_{n-2}^{w-1} p^{w-1} (1-p)^{n-w-1} \cdot p(1-p)}{C_n^w p^w (1-p)^{n-w}} \\ &= \frac{w(n-w)}{n(n-1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c). } E(T|W) &= P(T=1|W) = \frac{w(n-w)}{n(n-1)} = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{w}{n} \cdot (1 - \frac{w}{n}) \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} \bar{Y} (1 - \bar{Y}) \end{aligned}$$

9.72. $\hat{\mu} = \bar{Y}$ (sample mean)

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}Y^2} = \frac{\sum Y_i^2}{n} \quad (\text{sample 2-nd moment})$$

$$\text{So } \hat{\sigma}^2 = \widehat{\mathbb{E}Y^2} - \hat{\mu}^2 = \frac{\sum Y_i^2}{n} - (\bar{Y})^2.$$

9.80 (a) $P(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{\sum Y_i}}{\prod Y_i!} e^{-n\lambda}$.

So log-likelihood is

$$\ln(\lambda) = \log P(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \lambda) = \sum Y_i \ln \lambda - n\lambda + \ln \prod Y_i!$$

Let $\ln'(\lambda) = 0$, we have $\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum Y_i}{n}$.

(b) $\mathbb{E}\hat{\lambda} = \mathbb{E}Y = \lambda$, $\text{Var}\hat{\lambda} = \frac{\text{Var}Y}{n} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$.

(c) By WLLN, $\hat{\lambda} \xrightarrow{P} \lambda$.

(d). For the mapping $\lambda \rightarrow e^{-\lambda}$ is monotone,

so MLE of $e^{-\lambda}$ is $e^{-\hat{\lambda}}$.

9.85 (a) $f(Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \alpha, \theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)\theta^\alpha}\right)^n e^{-\frac{\sum Y_i}{\theta}} \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i^{\alpha-1}$

$$\ln(\theta) = -n\alpha \ln \theta - \frac{\sum Y_i}{\theta} + C,$$

C is a constant not involve unknown parameter θ .

So let $\ln'(\theta) = 0$, we have $\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum Y_i}{n\alpha}$.

(b) $\mathbb{E}Y = \alpha\theta$, $\text{Var}Y = \alpha\theta^2$, so

$$\mathbb{E}\hat{\theta} = \theta, \quad \text{Var}\hat{\theta} = \frac{\theta^2}{n\alpha}.$$

(c) By WLLN, $\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$.

Alternatively, for $\text{Var} \hat{\theta}_n = \frac{\theta}{n} \rightarrow 0$, by

Markov inequality we have that for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$P(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| > \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var} \hat{\theta}_n}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\theta}{n\varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

$$9.86. P(X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_1, \dots, Y_n | \mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma^2)$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma}\right)^{m+n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu_2)^2 \right]}$$

$$\text{So } \ln(\sigma^2, \mu_1, \mu_2) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \mu_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu_2)^2 \right] - \frac{m+n}{2} \ln \sigma^2.$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln(\sigma^2, \mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_1} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_1 = \bar{X} = \frac{\sum X_i}{m}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \frac{\partial \ln(\sigma^2, \mu_1, \mu_2)}{\partial \mu_2} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_2 = \bar{Y}.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \hat{\sigma}^2 \text{ satisfies } \frac{\partial \ln(\sigma^2, \hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2)}{\partial (\sigma^2)} = 0,$$

$$\text{which yields } \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{m+n} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2 \right].$$

9.94 Let likelihood function be $l(\theta)$,

$$\text{then } l(\hat{\theta}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} l(\theta).$$

So likelihood function for $t(\theta)$ is $l(t^{-1}(t(\theta)))$

$$\text{and } l(t^{-1}(t(\hat{\theta}))) = l(\hat{\theta}) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} l(\theta) \geq l(t^{-1}(t(\hat{\theta})))$$

hence $t(\hat{\theta})$ is MLE of $t(\theta)$.

1. Solution 1:

For $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$, $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} y$, so for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N > 0$,

s.t. $\forall n > N$, we have $P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$, $P(|Y_n - y| > \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } \forall n > N, |X_n Y_n - xy| &\leq |X_n Y_n - X Y_n| + |X Y_n - xy| \\ &\leq |X_n - X| |Y_n| + |X| |Y_n - y| \\ &\leq \varepsilon (|y| + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon |X| \\ &\leq \varepsilon M. \quad (M \text{ is a constant}). \end{aligned}$$

holds with prob at least $1 - 2\varepsilon$.

Therefore, $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{P} xy$.

Solution 2:

Verify that if $X \xrightarrow{P} x$, then $X^2 \xrightarrow{P} x^2$.

So $(X_n + Y_n)^2 \xrightarrow{P} (x+y)^2$, $(X_n - Y_n)^2 \xrightarrow{P} (x-y)^2$,

$$\text{hence } X_n Y_n = \frac{(X_n + Y_n)^2 - (X_n - Y_n)^2}{4} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{(x+y)^2 - (x-y)^2}{4} = xy.$$