Nov. 3rd.

- 1. Law of large numbers
- 2. Point estimation
  - a. consistency
  - b-sufficiency
  - c. efficiency.

Khinchin's WLLN:

Let  $X_{1}$ ,  $X_{n}$   $\stackrel{\text{lied}}{\longrightarrow} X$ ,  $X_{n}$   $X_{n$ 

Kolmogorov SLLN.

Under the same condition as above, Sn as:

CLT  $Var X = \sigma^2 < \infty$ .  $Sh - h \rightarrow N(0,1)$ 

Consistency.

fin be estimator of  $\mu$ ,

P(1/m-µ1>E) -> 0 as n>10. i.e. fin 15 µ.

**9.23** Refer to Exercise 9.21. Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  is a random sample of size n from a population for which the first four moments are finite. That is,  $m'_1 = E(Y_1) < \infty$ ,  $m'_2 = E(Y_1^2) < \infty$ ,  $m'_3 = E(Y_1^3) < \infty$ , and  $m'_4 = E(Y_1^4) < \infty$ . (*Note:* This assumption is valid for the normal and Poisson distributions in Exercises 9.21 and 9.22, respectively.) Again, assume

that n = 2k for some integer k. Consider

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{i=1}^k (Y_{2i} - Y_{2i-1})^2.$$

- **a** Show that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\sigma^2$ .
- **b** Show that  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is a consistent estimator for  $\sigma^2$ .
- **c** Why did you need the assumption that  $m'_4 = E(Y_1^4) < \infty$ ?

$$\begin{array}{l} \chi_{i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\underline{\qquad}} Y_{2i} - Y_{2i-1} , \quad i=1,\cdots,k \quad , \text{ then} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \chi_{i} \text{ are } i.i.d. \\ \\ \underline{\qquad} \quad \\ \underline{\qquad} \quad$$

**9.32** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from the probability density function

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{y^2}, & y \ge 2, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Does the law of large numbers apply to  $\overline{Y}$  in this case? Why or why not?

No. 
$$EY = \int_{2}^{\infty} y \cdot \frac{2}{y^{2}} dy$$

$$= \int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{2}{y} dy = 2 \ln y \Big|_{2}^{\infty} = \infty.$$

$$MSE(\hat{0}) = Var \hat{0} \qquad \hat{0}^{*} = \underset{q}{\operatorname{arg min}} Var(\hat{0})$$

$$MVUE$$

Sufficiency.

Factorization theorem

If 
$$p(x|\theta) = h_{\theta}(T(x)) g(x)$$
, where  $g$  doesn't involve  $\theta$ , then  $T(x)$  is  $SS$ .

9.45 Suppose that  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  is a random sample from a probability density function in the (one-parameter) exponential family so that

$$f(y \mid \theta) = \begin{cases} a(\theta)b(y)e^{-[c(\theta)d(y)]}, & a \le y \le b, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

where a and b do not depend on  $\theta$ . Show that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(Y_i)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

$$f(Y_{1},...,Y_{n}|0) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(Y_{i}|0)$$

$$= a^{n}(0) \prod_{i=1}^{n} b(Y_{i}) e^{-con} \prod_{i=1}^{n} d(Y_{i})$$

$$= \left[a^{n}(0) e^{-con} \prod_{i=1}^{n} d(Y_{i})\right] \prod_{i=1}^{n} b(Y_{i})$$

$$= ho(\sum_{i=1}^{n} d(Y_{i})) g(Y_{i},...,Y_{n}).$$

\*9.51 Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from the probability density function

$$f(y \mid \theta) = \begin{cases} e^{-(y-\theta)}, & y \ge \theta, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Show that  $Y_{(1)} = \min(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

$$f(Y_{i}, Y_{i}|0) = f(e^{-y_{i}} \cdot e^{0}) \cdot 1_{y_{i}} y_{i} > 0$$

$$= e^{-\frac{y_{i}}{2}y_{i}} \cdot e^{0} \cdot 1_{y_{i}} y_{i} > 0$$

$$= e^{-\frac{y_{i}}{2}y_{i}} \cdot e^{0} \cdot 1_{y_{i}} y_{i} > 0$$

$$= e^{-\frac{y_{i}}{2}y_{i}} \cdot e^{0} \cdot 1_{y_{i}} y_{i} > 0$$

$$S_{0} Y_{(i)} \quad \text{is SS}.$$

## Efficiency.

Restrict to unbiased estimators.

Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  denote a random sample of size n from a uniform distribution on the interval  $(0, \theta)$ . If  $Y_{(1)} = \min(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)$ , the result of Exercise 8.18 is that  $\hat{\theta}_1 = (n+1)Y_{(1)}$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ . If  $Y_{(n)} = \max(Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n)$ , the results of Example 9.1 imply that  $\hat{\theta}_2 = [(n+1)/n]Y_{(n)}$  is another unbiased estimator for  $\theta$ . Show that the efficiency of  $\hat{\theta}_1$  to  $\hat{\theta}_2$  is  $1/n^2$ . Notice that this implies that  $\hat{\theta}_2$  is a markedly superior estimator.

$$Y_{(1)} = \min(Y_{1}, \dots, Y_{n}), \quad \underline{Y_{1}, \dots, Y_{n}} \stackrel{\text{field}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{U}(0.0),$$

$$WLOC. \quad \underline{\theta=1}.$$

$$f_{Y(1)}(y) = n \quad (1-y)^{N-1}.$$

$$E Y_{(1)} = \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \underbrace{\hat{Q}_{1} = (n+1) Y_{(1)}}_{H^{1}} \stackrel{\text{field}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{U}(0.0),$$

$$Var Y_{(1)} = \int_{0}^{1} n y^{2} (+y)^{mi} dy - (EY_{(1)})^{2} \quad \frac{1-Y_{1}}{1-Y_{1}} \sim \mathcal{U}(0.0),$$

$$= N \cdot \frac{T(3) \cdot T(n)}{T(n+2)} - (\frac{1}{n+1})^{2}. \quad 1-Y_{(1)} \stackrel{\text{od}}{\longrightarrow} Y_{(n)}$$

$$= Var Y_{(n)}.$$

Most efficient one? MVUE!
How to find it? Raw-Blackwell!

- **9.56** Refer to Exercise 9.38(b). Find an MVUE of  $\sigma^2$ .
- **9.38** Let  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n$  denote a random sample from a normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .
  - **a** If  $\mu$  is unknown and  $\sigma^2$  is known, show that  $\overline{Y}$  is sufficient for  $\mu$ .
  - **b** If  $\mu$  is known and  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, show that  $\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i \mu)^2$  is sufficient for  $\sigma^2$ .

O is unbiased estimator of O, T(X) is SS for O.

 $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}^* = \mathbb{E}[\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \mid T(X)] \quad \text{is move.}$ 

 $\hat{\delta}^* = f(T(X)), \quad \text{E}\hat{\delta}^* = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\delta}^* \text{ is MUE.}$ 

 $T(Y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (Y_1 - \mu)^2$  is SS for  $T^2$ .

T(Y) ~ X2

ET(Y) = J2. EXM = (M) J2.

 $\left[S_{\gamma}^{2} = \frac{T(\gamma)}{n-1}\right] \left[ES_{\gamma}^{2} = J^{2}\right] S_{\gamma}^{2} ij MVUE.$